### **SEN Evaluation Report 2016-17**

As part of our commitment to inform parents about our work at school we provide an annual report on Special Educational Needs (SEN). This information appears yearly on our school website. Inclusion is addressed by all staff on a daily basis, with additional support provided for pupils' specific needs.

#### Organisation in Our School

Our school is a totally inclusive school and our outstanding practice has been recognised by our RaiseOnLine and FFT reports.

The following are in place:

- Six times yearly one-to-one meetings (Pupil Progress/ SEN Meetings), with Head Teacher/ Deputy and/ or SENCo to discuss individual pupils' educational, emotional and social progress. An emphasis is placed on Quality First Teaching (teachers meeting the pupils' needs within the classroom environment.)
- Class Provision Plans and Individual Provision Plans are written and then evaluated three times a
  year in collaboration with parents. Provision Plans are based on mutually agreed outcomes
  between home and school for pupils working at SEN Support.
- Range of intervention groups or 1:1 support in place to enable all pupils to make expected or accelerated progress.
- Three times yearly detailed analysis of groups, by SENCo, to ensure impact on pupils' progress and inform future inclusive practice.

### **SEN Register**

Currently 27 (11.5%) pupils are listed on our SEN Support register.

- SENS − 27
- Statement /EHC 2 (plus 2 pending)

| Class<br>Analysis | Total<br>SEN | SEN Support | Educational<br>Health Care<br>Plans (EHCP) | High Needs<br>Funding (HNF) | Percentage |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|--|-----------------------------|------------|
| Reception         | 2            | 2           | 0  | 0                           | 7.2        |
| Year 1            | 4            | 4           | 0  | 0                           | 13.3       |
| Year 2            | 3            | 3           | 0  | 1                           | 9.7        |
| Year 3            | 5            | 5           | 0  | 0                           | 16.1       |
| Year 4            | 6            | 6           | 0  | 2                           | 20.0       |
| Year 5            | 4            | 2           | 2  | 4                           | 13.3       |
| Year 6            | 3            | 3           | 0  | 0                           | 9.7        |
| Total             | 27           | 25          | 2  | 7                           | 12.7%      |

#### Table to show Primary Need of children with special educational needs.

This table shows the Primary Need of each child on the SEN register, however, many of the children will have more than one need type.

|            |               | Primary Need    |               |             |
|------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|
| Year Group | Cognition and | Communication   | Social,       | Sensory and |
|            | Learning      | and Interaction | Emotional and | Physical    |
|            |               |                 | Mental Health |             |
| R          | -             | 2               | -             | -           |
| 1          | 2             | 2               | -             | -           |
| 2          | 1             | -               | 1             | 1           |
| 3          | 4             | -               | 1             | -           |
| 4          | 4             | 1               | 1             | -           |
| 5          | -             | 3               | 1             | -           |
| 6          | 2             | -               | 1             | -           |
| Total      | 13            | 8               | 5             | 1           |

The national average for SEN support is 14.4% of the school population. At St George's CEP School, we have 11.8% of our school population at SEN support. 0.9% of our children have an EHCP.

Pupil Premium information will be available in a separate report on our school website.

There is some correlation between SEN and Disadvantage.

| Year R – pe | Year R – percentage of monitored/ SEN with comorbidity Pupil Premium |                       |  |     |                        |      |  |  |
|-------------|--|-----------------------|--|-----|------------------------|------|--|--|
|             | Monitorin  | g                     |  | SEN |                        |      |  |  |
| Total       | 5  |                       |  | 2   |                        |      |  |  |
| Number      | 28   |                       |  | 28  |                        |      |  |  |
| in class    | 17.9% monitored  | 17.9% % of class 3.6% |  |     | % of class SEN<br>& PP | 7.2% |  |  |

| Year 1 – pe | Year 1 – percentage of monitored/ SEN with comorbidity Pupil Premium |   |       |           |                        |      |  |  |
|-------------|--|---|-------|-----------|------------------------|------|--|--|
|             | Monitoring   |   |       | SEN       |                        |      |  |  |
| Total       | 8  |   |       | 4         |                        |      |  |  |
| Number      | 30   |   |       | 30        |                        |      |  |  |
| in class    | 26.7%<br>monitored   | % of class<br>monitored<br>and Pupil<br>Premium | 16.7% | 13.3% SEN | % of class SEN<br>& PP | 3.4% |  |  |

| Year 2 – percentage of monitored/ SEN with comorbidity Pupil Premium |                    |   |      |          |                        |      |  |  |
|--|--------------------|---|------|----------|------------------------|------|--|--|
|  | Monitoring         |   |      | SEN      |                        |      |  |  |
| Total  | 5                  |   |      | 3        |                        | ·    |  |  |
| Number in  | 31                 |   |      | 31       |                        |      |  |  |
| class  | 16.1%<br>monitored | % of class<br>monitored &<br>Pupil<br>Premium | 3.2% | 9.7% SEN | % of class SEN<br>& PP | 6.4% |  |  |

| Year 3 – percentage of monitored/ SEN with comorbidity Pupil Premium |                    |   |      |              |                        |      |  |  |
|--|--------------------|---|------|--------------|------------------------|------|--|--|
|  | Monitoring         |   |      | SEN          |                        |      |  |  |
| Total  | 4                  |   |      | 5            |                        |      |  |  |
| Number in  | 31                 |   |      | 31           |                        |      |  |  |
| class  | 12.9%<br>monitored | % of class<br>monitored &<br>Pupil<br>Premium | 9.6% | 16.1%<br>SEN | % of class SEN<br>& PP | 3.2% |  |  |

| Year 4 – per | Year 4 – percentage of monitored/ SEN with comorbidity Pupil Premium |   |  |       |                     |           |  |  |
|--------------|--|---|--|-------|---------------------|-----------|--|--|
|              | Monitoring   |   |  | SEN   |                     |           |  |  |
| Total        | 7  |   |  | 6     |                     |           |  |  |
| Number in    | 30   |   |  | 30    |                     |           |  |  |
| class        | % of class monitored   | t |  |       |                     |           |  |  |
|              | 22.6% % of class monitored & PP                                      |   |  | 20.0% | % of class SEN & PP | 16.7<br>% |  |  |

| Year 5 – percentage of monitored/ SEN with comorbidity Pupil Premium |                                 |  |  |       |                     |      |  |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|-------|---------------------|------|--|
|  | Monitoring                      |  |  | SEN   |                     |      |  |
| Total  | 5                               |  |  | 4     |                     |      |  |
| Number in  | 30                              |  |  | 30    |                     |      |  |
| class  | 16.7% % of class monitored & PP |  |  | 13.3% | % of class SEN & PP | 6.7% |  |

| Year 6 – percentage of monitored/ SEN with comorbidity Pupil Premium |       |                                 |            |      |                        |      |  |
|--|-------|---------------------------------|------------|------|------------------------|------|--|
| SEN  |       |                                 | Monitoring |      |                        |      |  |
| Total  | 8     |                                 |            | 3    |                        |      |  |
| Number in  | 31    |                                 |            | 31   |                        |      |  |
| class  | 25.8% | % of class<br>monitored<br>& PP | 3.2%       | 9.7% | % of class SEN &<br>PP | 3.2% |  |

| Total<br>number of<br>pupils on roll | Number of<br>pupils with<br>SEN | % of pupils<br>with SEN | % of pupils<br>with SEN &<br>PP | Number of pupils being monitored | % of pupils being monitored | % of pupils<br>being<br>monitored &<br>PP |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 212                                  | 27                              | 12.7%                   | 6.6%(14)                        | 42                               | 19.8%                       | 6.1%(13)                                  |

## **Funding**

The formula allocation to St George's CE Primary School includes a number of factors which together comprise the SEN Notional Funding. This funding allows the school to make provision for SEN support throughout the school and resource up to £6000 per pupil with high cost needs. Beyond £6000, we can apply for High Needs Funding (HNF) for individual needs. This funding must be re-applied for each year. Due to the size of St George's Primary School and the level of need, we receive additional notional funding when applying for HNF. During 2016-17 this amounted to £327 per month per HNF child, however during 2016-17 this amount was cut to £59 per month per HNF child. This was a significant loss to the school because Top-up funding remained the same but the notional rate decreased significantly.

#### **Safeguarding**

Families engage well with Early Help.

The school has a system for recording safeguarding concerns. All staff use green concern sheets and pass on these concerns to either the DSL (David Jones) or to one of the DDSL (Jo Ellis and Libby Rye). The concerns are then actioned and discussed at the weekly safeguarding meeting. FLO is involved in supporting with these families and concerns and making referrals to Early Help.

David Jones and Jo Ellis have attended refresher Safeguarding training this academic year as well as a Safeguarding conference. Libby Rye has attended Level 1 Safeguarding training and Online Safeguarding for DSLs. All staff have read and understood Keeping Children Safe in Education 2016 (KCSIE) and staff meetings have been held throughout the year to keep staff up-to-date with Safeguarding, including online safety.

#### **Teaching Support Assistants**

The school currently employs 13 Teaching Assistants who work directly with pupils. A number of differing contracts exist (part time, full time, temporary and permanent). Additional funding obtained via High Needs Funding (where individual pupils are required to be supported) results in temporary contracts. We have 4 children in Year 5 receiving HNF.

Two of these TAs are non-class based supporting specific interventions in small groups or with individual children. These include specific precision teaching, Better Reading Partnership, speech and language groups and a number of social groups.

Our Family Liaison Officer (FLO) works 15 hours a week spread over 3 days. She is supporting pupils and parents in many aspects of school life including social and emotional need, attendance and behaviour. She is funded via general school funding and Pupil Premium funding.

#### **Local Inclusion Forum Team (LIFT)**

Six children have been raised at LIFT during 2016-17. Advice was given to the school, through the allocation of a specialist teacher for Cognition and Learning, to support one particular child. Two other children have received support via the specialist teacher for Social, Emotional and Mental Health and Communication and Interaction. Another child continues to receive support via the specialist teacher for Communication and Interaction. The Senco submitted three requests for statutory assessment, this academic year, for three children in Year 5. Two of these children have now had an EHCP issued and one is currently underway. Another statutory assessment request has also been applied for.

In order to raise a child at LIFT we need to make sure that staff have regular staff meetings and Inset focusing on aspects of SEN. If a child continues to be a concern (e.g. not making progress) extra support may then be provided through specialist teachers. The specialist teachers observe the child, meet with teachers, TAs, Senco and parents. Advice is offered accordingly. Outcomes are set and follow-up visits termly. When all parties feel that a child is making progress against their outcomes, then specialist teacher support may withdraw. We can raise a child again at LIFT at a future date if we have further concerns.

The specialist teacher who supports for Social, Emotional and Mental Health can then support us in accessing the ELSA programme (intensive emotional literacy support). One child attended this programme during Term 1 & 2 and has now returned to school. We have

now secured an EHCP and the LA has agreed to specialist placement. We are awaiting a specialist placement to be found.

Abby Lloyd, specialist teacher has also been supporting at St. George's during this academic year. She is funded via High Needs Funding and supports three children with weekly literacy lessons.

#### **External Agencies**

We continue to buy into the support provided via Educational Psychology with 3 visits allocated a year.

#### SENCo week

Jo Ellis, SENCo, currently works 0.5 and co-ordinates SEN within the school. As part of her wider responsibilities she is part of the Senior Leadership Team of the school and thus contributes to the wider leadership decision making. Specifically, she has a key role in managing Teaching Assistants and the FLO and like all the senior leaders supports their development.

The role of the SENCo has grown enormously over recent years. The SENCo is involved in liaising closely with outside agencies, specialist teachers and parents and attending meetings. It also involves screening children who may have processing issues, dyslexia etc. and this then provides evidence when raising a child at LIFT. Teachers request observations in class and then teachers and SENCo discuss ways in which a child can be supported. Careful monitoring of interventions is necessary as this evidence is required if a child fails to make progress or if the gap is widening. This evidence is needed in order to request support at LIFT. LIFT meetings are for half a day each half-term.

The SENCo holds regular TA meetings and staff meetings.

The SENCo also attends AEN updates termly. SEN meetings with individual class teachers are timetabled throughout each term. HNF applications are completed by the SENCo and Individual Provision Plans for HNF children are produced in collaboration with the teacher.

SENCo and FLO work very closely and meet weekly to discuss any children requiring Early Help or those being monitored. Any concerns raised are communicated to the class teacher and FLO visits families in need of support.

| Intervention      | Focus | Impact | Implications for            |
|-------------------|-------|--------|-----------------------------|
| and number of     |       |        | 2017/18                     |
| pupils who        |       |        | (continue / drop / re-train |
| accessed this     |       |        | TA / select pupils more     |
| intervention this |       |        | accurately)                 |
| year              |       |        | .,                          |

| Speech Link         | Supports pupils with speech production issues.                      | All children make a significant improvement across the year. See separate analysis for detail.   | LS to continue to screen children in KS1 and KS2. Reception teachers to screen all Reception children in Y1.  |
|---------------------|---|--|---|
| Language Link       | supports pupils with receptive and expressive language difficulties | All children make a significant improvement across the year. See separate analysis for detail.   | LS to continue to screen children in KS1 and KS2. Reception teachers to screen all Reception children in Y1.  |
| BRP                 | Reading   | Where the gap is between 6-12 months and the programme is delivered 3 times weekly, gaps are seen to show improvement. However, it does not have as big an impact when gaps are bigger than 12 months and the programme is delivered twice a week. See separate analysis for detail. | Continue at a class based level. Assessments have been carried out by Senco and a TA. The TA who manages BRP is leaving and there has been a thorough handover to another TA. |
| Precision Teaching  | Spelling<br>Maths   | PT monitored continually and programme changed when children not making progress. Some children now receiving 5 minute Box and Maths Box intervention.   | Continue for groups/<br>individuals and monitor<br>continually.   |
| Lego Therapy        | Social communication programme to help children to co-operate       | Recorded on IPPs. Successful for some children but has needed to modified as 'collaborative play' and barrier games for other children.  | Continue for children with social and communication issues but modify for those who have poor cooperation skills.   |
| Zones of Regulation | Emotional regulation programme                                      | Whole school level and used with groups/individuals.   | Some individuals who are supported with this programme still require  |

|                                      |  | Has supported children in expanding strategies for those who have emotional regulation problems.                               | a high level of adult<br>support to help them<br>regulate their emotions.   |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Toe-by-Toe                           | Highly structured multi-sensory reading programme (one-to-one) | Successful with individuals to support in spelling patterns.   | Continue to use for individuals in KS2.   |
| Power of 2                           | Highly structured multi-sensory maths programme (one-to-one)   | Successful with individuals to support in laying foundations in maths concepts.  | Continue to use for individuals in KS2.   |
| Sensory Circuits/<br>movement breaks | Early morning exercises to prepare children for learning.      | It has been difficult<br>to logistically carry<br>out this intervention<br>in the morning due<br>to clubs and Worship<br>time. | It is a very worthwhile intervention to use with individuals – sensory stations in classrooms would be a good move forward. |

# **SEN Training provided to staff since September 2016**

| Training                                 | Uses in the school and impact                                 |  |
|--|---|--|
| Date provided - Title                    |   |  |
| 3/10/16 Teaching Effective Vocabulary    | To support a child in receipt of HNF. Project has follow-up   |  |
| for Learning                             | and staff felt the training was very useful and could be used |  |
| Attended by 2 TAs                        | practically in the classroom.                                 |  |
| 6/10/16 & 7/10/16                        | Disseminated to staff via TA meetings and staff meeting.      |  |
| Behaviour Conference                     |   |  |
| attended by Jo Ellis                     |   |  |
| 17/10/16 AEN Updates                     | Shared with SLT   |  |
| Attended by Jo Ellis                     |   |  |
| 15/6/16 Wellbeing Toolkit                | Staff pop-ups on mindfulness and growth mindset               |  |
| Attended by N Brownfield                 |   |  |
| Zones of Regulation TAs                  | Used in school for individual support and group support.      |  |
| Led by R Farmer                          | Children have a good understanding of the 'zone' they are in  |  |
|  | but some require support to put suggested strategies in       |  |
|  | place. Ongoing intervention.                                  |  |
| Comic Strip Conversations TAs            | Comic strip conversations used by 2 individuals and           |  |
| Led by R Farmer                          | behaviour mapping training planned for 2018.                  |  |
| 11/11/16 Lego Therapy TAs                | Lego Therapy Group taking place in school.                    |  |
| Led by R Farmer                          |   |  |
| 17/1/17 Language for Learning            | 3 TA meetings to share good practice – led by Jo Ellis        |  |
| Survival for Sencos attended by Jo Ellis |   |  |
| 7/3/17 Once Upon A Story                 | Used to support individual children through direct work with  |  |
| Attended by FLO                          | FLO. Part of working together with vulnerable families.       |  |

6. The progress data pupils, by year group.

| % SEN/non-SEN  | Reading          |                 | Writing |      | Maths   | Maths |  |
|--|------------------|-----------------|---------|------|---------|-------|--|
| on track for expected<br>or better progress<br>across the year |                  |                 |         |      |         |       |  |
|  | Non SEN          | SEN             | Non SEN | SEN  | Non SEN | SEN   |  |
| Year 1   | 92%<br>(25 chn)  | 100%<br>(4 chn) | 92%     | 75%  | 100%    | 75%   |  |
| Year 2   | 100%<br>(26 chn) | 66%<br>(3 chn)  | 100%    | 66%  | 96%     | 33%   |  |
| Year 3   | 100%<br>(25 chn) | 100%<br>(5 chn) | 100%    | 100% | 100%    | 100%  |  |
| Year 4   | 91%<br>(22 chn)  | 83%<br>(6 chn)  | 95%     | 100% | 91%     | 100%  |  |
| Year 5   | 100%<br>(24 chn) | 100%<br>(4 chn) | 100%    | 100% | 100%    | 100%  |  |
| Year 6   | (31<br>children) |                 |         |      |         |       |  |

# 7. Attendance of pupils with SEN and No SEN

| 2016-17     | % sessions missed due to overall absence |
|-------------|--|
| No SEN      | 3.48                                     |
| SEN support | 6.03                                     |

| Progress across Key | Reading progress | Writing progress | Maths progress % |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Stage 2             | %                | %                |                  |
| No SEN (28          | <mark>100</mark> | tbc              | tbc              |
| children)           |                  |                  |                  |
| SEN (3 children)    | <mark>100</mark> | <mark>33</mark>  | <mark>66</mark>  |

| Attainment Key   | Reading %        | Writing progress  | Maths progress % | GPS              |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Stage 2          |                  | %                 |                  |                  |
| No SEN (28       | <mark>100</mark> | <mark>89.2</mark> | <mark>100</mark> | <mark>100</mark> |
| children)        |                  |                   |                  |                  |
| SEN (3 children) | <mark>100</mark> | <mark>33</mark>   | <mark>66</mark>  | <mark>100</mark> |

| Attainment Key   | Reading % | Writing % | Maths % |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Stage 1          |           |           |         |
| No SEN (27       | tbc       | tbc       | tbc     |
| children)        |           |           |         |
| SEN (3 children) | 33        | 0         | 33      |

| Phonics screening 2016-17 | Y1 Phonics Screening Results | Y2 Phonics Re-Screening |  |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
|                           |                              | Results                 |  |
| All children              | 77%                          | 88%                     |  |
|                           | 23/30 children               | 7/8 children            |  |
| No SEN                    | 89%                          | 50%                     |  |
|                           | 24/27 children               | ½ children              |  |
| SEN                       | 0                            | 100%                    |  |
|                           | 0/3 children                 | 6/6 children            |  |